

THE EFA, EROSION FUNCTION APPARATUS: AN OVERVIEW

By

Jean-Louis Briaud¹, H.-C. Chen², Francis Ting³

ABSTRACT

A new apparatus is described to measure the erosion function of a soil. The apparatus is called the EFA (patent pending) or Erosion Function Apparatus (<http://tti.tamu.edu/geotech/scour>). The erosion function is the relationship between the hydraulic shear stress applied at the soil-water interface by the water flowing over the soil and the erosion rate of the soil. This erosion function can then be used to predict scour of soil by water.

INTRODUCTION

The erosion rate of clean sands is very high and may be measured in meters per hour. For example a 5 meter deep hole can be created by a single flood around a bridge pier. On the other hand the erosion rate of rock is very slow and may be measured in millimeters per year. For example the Grand Canyon in the USA is about 1.6 km deep and took approximately 20 million years to develop; this leads to an average erosion rate of 0.08mm/yr. For a bridge pier built on clean sand, one needs only to consider the worst flood and calculate the scour depth for that flood because the erosion rate is so high that time is not a factor. For a bridge pier built in rock it is not economical to use the same calculations as for sand because the rate is so slow that even after say 100 years the scour depth in rock may be a very small fraction of the scour depth in sand.

The erosion rate of fine grained soils is intermediate between that of sand and that of rock. There is a need to predict that rate to estimate how large the scour depth will be at the end of the bridge design life. This prediction process starts by a measurement of the erosion function, which links the hydraulic shear stress τ applied at the water-soil interface to the erosion rate of the soil 2 . The EFA (Erosion Function Apparatus) was developed to measure the 2 vs τ curve for a given soil.

THE EROSION FUNCTION APPARATUS

The EFA (Figs. 1 and 2) (Briaud et al. 1999 (a) and (b), 2001 (a) and (b)) was conceived in 1991, designed in 1992, and built in 1993. The sample of soil, fine-grained or not, is

¹ Spencer J. Buchanan Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3136, USA, (briaud@tamu.edu)

² Associate Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843-3136, USA.

³ Associate Professor, Dept. of Civil & Environmental Engineering, South Dakota State University, Brookings, South Dakota 57007, USA.

taken in the field by pushing an ASTM standard Shelby tube with a 76.2 mm outside diameter (ASTM D1587). One end of the Shelby tube full of soil is placed through a circular opening in the bottom of a rectangular cross section pipe. A snug fit and an O-ring establish a leakproof connection. The cross section of the rectangular pipe is 101.6 mm by 50.8 mm. The pipe is 1.22 m long and has a flow straightener at one end. The water is driven through the pipe by a pump. A valve regulates the flow and a flow meter is used to measure the flow rate. The range of mean flow velocities is 0.1 m/s to 6 m/s. The end of the Shelby tube is held flush with the bottom of the rectangular pipe. A piston at the bottom end of the sampling tube pushes the soil until it protrudes 1 mm into the rectangular pipe at the other end. This 1 mm protrusion of soil is eroded by the water flowing over it.

EFA TEST PROCEDURE

The procedure for the EFA test consists of

1. Place the sample in the EFA, fill the pipe with water, and wait one hour.
2. Set the velocity to 0.3 m/s.
3. Push the soil 1 mm into the flow.
4. Record how much time it takes for the 1 mm soil to erode (visual inspection through plexiglas window)
5. When the 1 mm of soil is eroded or after 1 hour of flow whichever comes first, increase the velocity to 0.6 m/s and bring the soil back to a 1 mm protrusion.
6. Repeat step 4.
7. Then repeat steps 5 and 6 for velocities equal to 1 m/s, 1.5 m/s, 2 m/s, 3 m/s, 4.5 m/s, and 6 m/s.

EFA TEST RESULTS

The test result consists of the erosion rate \dot{z} versus shear stress τ curve (Fig. 3). For each flow velocity, the erosion rate \dot{z} (mm/hr) is simply obtained by dividing the length of sample eroded by the time required to do so. After several attempts at measuring the shear stress τ in the apparatus it was found that the best way to obtain τ was by using the Moody Chart (Moody, 1944) for pipe flows.

$$\tau = \frac{1}{8} f \rho v^2$$

Where τ is the shear stress on the wall of the pipe, f is the friction factor obtained from Moody Chart (Fig. 4), ρ is the mass density of water (1000 kg/m³) and v is the mean flow velocity in the pipe.

CONCLUSION

An apparatus called EFA (patent pending) (<http://tti.tamu.edu/geotech/scour>) is described to measure the erosion function (\dot{z} VS τ curve) for a soil. It can be used for any soil or soft rock which can be cored or sampled in a 76.2 mm outside diameter tube. The EFA results can then be used to predict scour of soil by water.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The EFA was originally developed under sponsorship from the Texas Department of Transportation. The Texas Transportation Institute also contributed to its development.

REFERENCES

1. Briaud, J.-L., Ting, F.C.K., Chen, H.C., Gudavalli, R., Perugu, S., Wei, G., 1999 (a), "SRICOS: Prediction of Scour Rate in Cohesive Soils at Bridge Piers", *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 125, No. 4, April 1999, pp. 237-246, American Society of Civil Engineers, Reston, Virginia, USA.
2. Briaud, J.-L., Ting, F.C.K., Chen, H.C., Gudavalli, R., Kwak, K., Philogene, B., Han, S.W., Perugu, S., Wei, G., Nurtjahyo, P., Cao, Y., Li, Y., 1999(b), "SRICOS: Prediction of Scour Rate at Bridge Piers", Report 2937-F to the Texas Department of Transportation, Texas A&M University, Civil Engineering, College Station, TX 77843-3136, USA.
3. Briaud J.-L., Ting F., Chen H.C., Cao Y., Han S.-W., Kwak K., 2001, "Erosion Function Apparatus for Scour Rate Predictions", *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 127, No.2, pp. 105-113, Feb. 2001, ASCE, Reston, Virginia.
4. Briaud J.-L., Chen H.-C., Kwak K., Han S., Ting F., 2001, "Multiflood and Multilayer Method for Scour Rate Prediction at Bridge Piers", *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, Vol. 127, No.2, pp. 114-125, Feb. 2001, ASCE, Reston, Virginia.
5. Moody L.F., 1994 "Friction Factors for Pipe Flow", Transaction of the American Society of Civil Engineers, Vol. 66, Reston, Virginia, USA.
6. Munson B.R., Young D.F., Okiishi T.H., 1990, "Fundamentals of Fluid Mechanics", John Wiley & Sons, New York, New York, USA, pp 843.





Fig. 1 - Schematic Diagram and Result of the EFA (Erosion Function Apparatus)




(a)




(b)

Fig. 2 - Photographs of the Erosion Function Apparatus (a) General View (b) Close-up of the Test Section



(a)



(b)

Fig. 3 - Erosion Function for a soil sample taken near Pier 27E of the Existing Woodrow Wilson Bridge (2.6 - 3.2 meters depth) :
 a) Scour Rate vs. Shear Stress, b) Scour Rate vs. Velocity




Fig. 4 - Moody Chart (reprinted with permission from Munson et al. 1990)