**Technical Report Documentation Page** 

			Technical F	rage to commentation rage	
1. Report No. FHWA/TX-07/5-4774-01-1	2. Government Accessio	n No.	3. Recipient's Catalog N	lo.	
4. Title and Subtitle PILOT IMPLEMENTATION OF II MONITORING FLEXIBLE PAVE	<ul> <li>5. Report Date</li> <li>August 2007</li> <li>Published: January 2008</li> <li>6. Performing Organization Code</li> </ul>				
7. Author(s) Stephen Sebesta, Tom Scullion, and		8. Performing Organization Report No. Report 5-4774-01-1			
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Texas Transportation Institute The Texas A&M University System		10. Work Unit No. (TRA	AIS) D.		
College Station, Texas 77843-3135			Project 5-4774-0	01	
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address Texas Department of Transportation Research and Technology Implementation Office			<ul><li>13. Type of Report and Period Covered</li><li>Technical Report:</li><li>September 2005-August 2007</li></ul>		
P. O. Box 5080 Austin, Texas 78763-5080			14. Sponsoring Agency	Code	
Project performed in cooperation with Administration. Project Title: Pilot Implementation of URL: http://tti.tamu.edu/documents 16. Abstract In this project a system for monitorin mounted on a smooth-drum vibrator included untreated subgrade, lime-th showed the roller measurements rela- between the roller drum displacement jobsite. This report presents a brief specifications and a draft test proceed	ith the Texas Depar of Instrumented Ro /5-4774-01-1.pdf ing construction of ry compactor was to reated subgrade, ce ate to the stiffness of nt and pavement la summary of the tes dure.	tment of Transpor llers for Compaction flexible pavement ested on numerous ment-treated subgro of the pavement for yer stiffness prope st system, example	tation and the Fede on Control layers by using an TxDOT projects. ade, and flexible b undation layer. Th rties must be calib results, and draft o	accelerometer Projects tested pase. Results he relationship rated at the construction	
17. Key Words Quality Control, Quality Assurance, Pavement, Intelligent Compaction, A Roller Mounted Compaction Contro Roller	<ul> <li>18. Distribution Statement</li> <li>No restrictions. This document is available to the public through NTIS:</li> <li>National Technical Information Service</li> <li>Springfield, Virginia 22161</li> <li>http://www.ntis.gov</li> </ul>				
19. Security Classif.(of this report) Unclassified	ort) 20. Security Classif.(of this page) 21. No. of Pages 22. Price Unclassified 44				
Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)			Reproduction of comple	eted page authorized	

# PILOT IMPLEMENTATION OF INSTRUMENTED ROLLERS FOR MONITORING FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT CONSTRUCTION

by

Stephen Sebesta Assistant Research Scientist Texas Transportation Institute

Tom Scullion, P.E. Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

and

Wenting Liu, P.E. Associate Research Engineer Texas Transportation Institute

Report 5-4774-01-1 Project 5-4774-01 Project Title: Pilot Implementation of Instrumented Rollers for Compaction Control

> Performed in cooperation with the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration

> > August 2007 Published: January 2008

TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE The Texas A&M University System College Station, Texas 77843-3135

### DISCLAIMER

The contents of this report reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Texas Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration. The United States Government and the State of Texas do not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturers' names appear herein solely because they are considered essential to the object of this report. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The engineer in charge was Tom Scullion, P.E. (Texas, # 62683).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was made possible by the Texas Department of Transportation and the Federal Highway Administration. Special thanks must be extended to Zhiming Si, P.E., for serving as the implementation director, and Caroline Hererra, P.E., for serving as the program coordinator.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Figures
List of Tablesix
Chapter 1. Introduction to the RAM System
Summary1
Principle of Operation
Hardware Components
System Installation
Chapter 2. Typical Field Test Results
Summary
SH 21 Untreated Subgrade
SH 21 Lime-Treated Subgrade7
SH 130 Untreated Subgrade
SH 130 Cement-Treated Subgrade
Chapter 3. Conclusions and Recommendations
Appendix A: Hardware Specifications for RAM
Appendix B: Draft Construction Specifications
Appendix C: Draft Test Procedure

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	·e	Page
1.1	Example Raw (top) and Filtered (bottom) Roller Drum Displacement Data	1
1.2	Roller Drum Displacement and Pavement Layer Stiffness with Distance	2
1.3	RAM System Components	3
2.1	Density vs. Roller for SH 21	5
2.2	DCP Layer 1 Penetration Rater (PR) vs. Roller for SH 21	6
2.3	Layer 2 PR vs. Roller for SH 21	6
2.4	Typical DCP Pattern for SH 21 LTS	7
2.5	LTS Penetration Rate vs. Roller for SH 21 LTS	8
2.6	Layer 2 PR vs. Roller for SH 21 LTS Section	8
2.7	Collecting Roller Drum Displacement Data on SH 130	9
2.8	Typical DCP Pattern on SH 130	9
2.9	Layer 1 Density vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade	10
2.10	Layer 1 PR vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade	10
2.11	Foundation Layer PR vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade	10
2.12	Foundation Layer PFWD Modulus vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade	11
2.13	Roller Drum Displacement and DCP Foundation Layer Modulus vs. Distance	
	for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade	11
2.14	Layer 1 Density vs. Roller for SH 130 Cement-Treated Subgrade	12
2.15	Roller Drum Displacement and PFWD Foundation Layer Modulus vs. Distance	
	for SH 130 Cement-Treated Subgrade	12
3.1.	Roller Response from Three Runs on SH 130	13
3.2.	Vertek Moisture Probe and Example Calibration Curve	14

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Summary of SH 21 Untreated Results	7

### **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION TO THE RAM SYSTEM**

#### SUMMARY

The roller accelerometer monitor (RAM) system consists of an accelerometer that mounts onto the vibrating arm of a compaction roller and a computer system for collecting and processing the roller drum vibration data. By double-integrating the measured accelerations, the system documents the amplitude of the roller drum displacement, termed the instrumented roller value (IRV). The purpose of the system essentially is to use the vibratory roller as a rolling stiffness meter. Ideally, the roller drum displacements relate to pavement layer properties so that the roller data can provide a useful quality control or quality assurance function. The relationship between the roller drum displacement and pavement layer properties must be calibrated at the jobsite. This report describes the basics of using the roller system, example field results, and a draft construction specification and test procedure for using the RAM system for testing flexible pavement construction projects.

#### **PRINCIPLE OF OPERATION**

Figure 1.1 illustrates example data from the RAM system. The top graph shows the roller drum position with distance. Filtering out the low frequencies (pavement roughness) produces the lower graph, which shows the roller response to the pavement system without the influence of pavement roughness.



Figure 1.1. Example Raw (top) and Filtered (bottom) Roller Drum Displacement Data.

Using the filtered data, the amplitude of the roller drum displacement waveform can be determined and plotted with distance. Figure 1.2 shows an example of this plot from two repeat tests at a short pavement section at Texas A&M's Riverside Campus. The plot also shows the subgrade modulus with distance as measured with a portable falling weight deflectometer (PFWD). The data show that the roller drum displacement increases with higher pavement stiffness. Essentially, the roller "bounces" more off of a stiffer pavement foundation. It is this dependency on pavement stiffness that enables the roller to provide a measurement function.



Figure 1.2. Roller Drum Displacement and Pavement Layer Stiffness with Distance.

#### HARDWARE COMPONENTS

The RAM system consists of an accelerometer, accelerometer mount, signal conditioner, distance measuring instrument (DMI), DMI mount, computer system, computer mount, data acquisition card, battery, power inverter, and necessary connection and power cables. Figure 1.3 shows the primary system components. The current RAM system still uses a distance encoder for positional referencing. Integration of submeter accuracy GPS should be feasible with the system; however, this integration was not possible within the pro-forma expenses anticipated for acquiring the other critical components of the RAM systems.



Figure 1.3. RAM System Components. L to R: accelerometer components, DMI components, and computer components

#### SYSTEM INSTALLATION

Product 5-4774-01-P2, available from the Texas Transportation Institute (TTI), contains detailed procedures and video of how to install and operate the RAM system. Product 5-4774-01-P1 contains a detailed written user's manual. In summary, installation requires the user to:

- Attach the DMI mount and DMI to the hub of one of the paver wheels.
- Stabilize the DMI using the stabilizer assembly.
- Attach the accelerometer mount on the vibrating arm of the roller drum.
- Attach the accelerometer to the accelerometer mount.
- Secure the signal conditioner, computer system, and battery on the operator's platform.
- Connect the accelerometer to the signal conditioner using the connection cable.
- Connect the signal conditioner to the computer using the data transfer cable.
- Connect the power cord between the battery and the computer system.

#### **CHAPTER 2**

### **TYPICAL FIELD TEST RESULTS**

#### SUMMARY

Testing the RAM system on numerous projects revealed that the roller drum measurements relate to the stiffness of the foundation layer. Data collected on projects ranging from untreated subgrade, lime-treated subgrade (LTS), cement-treated subgrade, and flexible base led to this conclusion. The sections below present example data from projects tested.

#### SH 21 UNTREATED SUBGRADE

On this project, after screening a section of the prepared untreated subgrade with the instrumented roller, nuclear density and dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP) tests performed showed the roller did not correlate to density or the stiffness of the surface layer. Rather, the roller correlated with the stiffness of the subsurface foundation layer. Figures 2.1 through 2.3 illustrate these results. A Cat CS 433, with a 66-inch drum, an operating weight of 14,875 pounds, and a vibration frequency of 32 Hz was used at a travel speed of approximately 2-4 mph.



Figure 2.1. Density vs. Roller for SH 21.



Figure 2.2. DCP Layer 1 Penetration Rater (PR) vs. Roller for SH 21.



Figure 2.3. Layer 2 PR vs. Roller for SH 21.

To further validate these findings, Table 2.1 presents summary data from the locations with the highest and lowest roller drum displacements. The data show:

- The weakest location based on the roller had a surface layer stiffness equal to the stiffest location. However, beyond 9 inches in depth, the stiffness at Station 97+04 deteriorates substantially. Therefore, the roller is responding primarily to this foundation layer.
- Both nuclear and laboratory density show negligible differences in moisture content or density among the two test locations.
- Laboratory resilient modulus tests confirm the roller accurately identified the location of weaker foundational stiffness.

		DCP Data			Nuclear	ıclear Data**		Lab Shelby Tube Data			
Station	IRV (mm)	Layer	Thickness (inches)	PR (mm/blow)	E (ksi)	Dry Density (pcf)	Percent Moisture	Dry Density (pcf)	Percent Moisture	Lab E (ksi)***	PI
97+04	0.95	1	8.9	20.6	11	111.5	17.7	109.3	17.7	11.4	32
97-04	0.95	2	20.4	52.1	5.7						
97+38	1.08	1	29.9*	18.6	11.9	112	17.6	110.9	17.2	59	26

Table 2.1. Summary of SH 21 Untreated Results.

\*No distinct layer breaks identified

\*\*Average of 6 and 12 inch readings, which differed by at most 1.6 pcf and 0.2 percent moisture

\*\*\*Tested from Shelby tube samples obtained at 2 to 4 foot depth with 5 psi confining stress and 15 psi deviator stress

#### SH 21 LIME-TREATED SUBGRADE

On this project, the roller screened a section of lime-treated subgrade. The same Cat CS 433 roller previously described was used. Follow-up work revealed the roller data did not correlate to properties of the LTS. Instead, the roller correlated to the stiffness of the foundation layer beneath the LTS. Figure 2.4 shows typical DCP data from the project, and Figures 2.5 and 2.6 illustrate the relationship between the roller data and DCP properties for the pavement layers.



Figure 2.4. Typical DCP Pattern for SH 21 LTS.



Figure 2.5. LTS Penetration Rate vs. Roller for SH 21 LTS.



Figure 2.6. Layer 2 PR vs. Roller for SH 21 LTS Section.

#### SH 130 UNTREATED SUBGRADE

On this project, the roller screened a section of untreated subgrade then researchers performed follow-up tests with nuclear density, dirt seismic pavement analyzer (DSPA), PFWD, and DCP spot tests. The results again showed that the roller data did not correlate to density, and the roller correlated to the stiffness properties of the foundation material. A Cat CS 563-E roller was used at low vibration amplitude and an operating speed of approximately 2 mph. This roller has an operating weight of 24,520 pounds, an 84-inch-wide drum, and a vibration frequency of 32 Hz.

Figure 2.7 shows roller data collection in progress. At this site, the pavement system consisted of three distinguishable layers, as Figure 2.8 illustrates. The data revealed the roller correlated to stiffness properties of the lowest identified foundation layer and did not correlate to the top layer of fill at the project site. Figures 2.9 through 2.13 show the correlation (or lack thereof) between the roller data and various spot test devices for the pavement layers.



Figure 2.7 Collecting Roller Drum Displacement Data on SH 130.



Figure 2.8. Typical DCP Pattern on SH 130.



Figure 2.9. Layer 1 Density vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade.



Figure 2.10. Layer 1 PR vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade.



Figure 2.11. Foundation Layer PR vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade.



Figure 2.12. Foundation Layer PFWD Modulus vs. Roller for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade.



Figure 2.13. Roller Drum Displacement and DCP Foundation Layer Modulus vs. Distance for SH 130 Untreated Subgrade.

#### SH 130 CEMENT-TREATED SUBGRADE

After cement treatment, the DCP data still showed patterns similar to that illustrated by Figure 2.8. As before, the data did not show a correlation to the density of the top layer. Figures 2.14 and 2.15 illustrate these findings. The roller used was the Cat CS 563-E previously described.



Figure 2.14. Layer 1 Density vs. Roller for SH 130 Cement-Treated Subgrade.



Figure 2.15. Roller Drum Displacement and PFWD Foundation Layer Modulus vs. Distance for SH 130 Cement-Treated Subgrade.

### **CHAPTER 3**

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

In this project, researchers tested the RAM system on a spectrum of field construction projects and used various spot tests including the nuclear density gauge, DCP, and PFWD to evaluate what the instrumented roller system measures. Unfortunately, the data indicate the roller drum displacements do not correlate to density or stiffness properties of the top pavement layer. Instead, the roller response depends primarily on the stiffness of the foundation material. On the projects tested with a typical 12-ton roller, this foundation material typically lies at least 12 inches below the test surface. Therefore, attempts to use this technology for compaction acceptance are discouraged. From the results of this pilot implementation, two potential uses currently exist for further implementation of this system:

1. Use as a proof roller.

At its simplest, the instrumented roller can be used to detect the weak areas in the pavement system. This application would involve screening a section with the roller and spot testing the locations with the lowest roller drum deflection.

2. Use as a compaction monitor to determine when to stop rolling.

Figure 3.1 shows the roller drum displacement with distance for a sequence of three passes over the same section on SH 130. As long as the roller drum displacement increases with additional passes, the pavement system is increasing in stiffness. Therefore, in this application, when the roller drum displacement does not increase with additional roller passes, the operator can stop rolling.



Figure 3.1. Roller Response from Three Runs on SH 130.

Appendix A of this report presents the hardware specifications for the RAM system. Appendix B presents draft construction specifications for using instrumented rollers for proof rolling and compaction monitoring. Appendix C provides a draft test procedure to accompany instrumented rolling.

To help TxDOT implement the RAM technology, this project sought to deliver three units to TxDOT. As of this report date, all components have been procured; however, due to unexpected delays in delivery of certain hardware devices, a repeatability analysis among the three units has not yet been conducted. Therefore, the units have not yet been delivered to the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT), and the project director along with TTI are seeking support for a small project for fiscal year 2008 to complete the repeatability testing of the systems.

A side topic of this project was a trial of a non-nuclear moisture probe made by Vertek. Figure 3.2 shows the probe and an example relationship between the true oven-dried moisture content and the probe readings for a sandy subgrade soil. Although the ordinary-least squares slope is 0.77, based upon the degrees of freedom and the standard error of the slope estimate, the slope does not statistically differ from 1.0. Therefore, at least for this material, the Vertek probe provided unbiased moisture readings. Although promising for unconsolidated or extremely poorly compacted soil layers, installation of this probe into compacted pavement layers led the research team to abandon continued testing with the device.



Figure 3.2. Vertek Moisture Probe and Example Calibration Curve.

# **APPENDIX A**

# HARDWARE SPECIFICATIONS FOR RAM

#### **Computer Specifications:**

Processor speed: 1.8 GHz Memory: 512 Mb, DDR2, 533 MHz 1 DIMM Hard drive: 60 GB 5400 RPM SATA Video Card: Integrated ATI Radeon X1150 or equivalent Operating System: Windows XP I/O: 1 USB 2.0

#### **Distance Measuring Instrument Specifications:**

Housing configuration: square flange Shaft loading: up to 40 lb axial and 40 lb radial Flat on shaft: 0.75 x 0.03 deep Shaft diameter: 0.37 Shaft seal Starting torque at 25 °C: 2.5 in-oz maximum with shaft seal Bearing life:  $1.5 \times 10^9$  revolutions at rated load Maximum RPM: 8000 Moment of inertia:  $2.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ oz-in-sec}^2$ Code: incremental Output format: dual channels with index and complementary output Cycles per shaft turn: 120 Supply voltage: 5 to 28 VDC Current requirements: 100 mA typical + output load, 250 mA (max) Voltage/Output: Vout = Vin Protection level: reverse, overvoltage, and output short circuit Frequency response: 100 kHz Output termination: MS3102R18-1P Enclosure rating: NEMA 4 & 13 (IP66) Operating temperature: 0° to 70 °C Storage temperature: -25° to 90 °C Shock: 50 g's for 11 msec duration Vibration: 5 to 2000 Hz @ 20g's Humidity: 98% RH without condensation

### Accelerometer Specifications:

Sensitivity charge (pC/ms-2): 10 Frequency range (Hz): 0.1 to 4800 Mounted resonance frequency (kHz): 16 Temperature range: -100 to 482 °F Transverse sensitivity maximum (at 30 Hz, 100 ms-2): < 4% reference sensitivity Transverse resonance frequency (kHz): 4 Maximum operational shock:  $\pm$  2000 g peak Maximum continuous sinusoidal: 2000 g peak Temp transient sensitivity (3 Hz low lim. Frq. [-3dB, 6 dB/oct]): 0.02 ms<sup>-2</sup>/°C Magnetic sensitivity (50 Hz, 0.038 T): 1 ms<sup>-2</sup>/T Acoustic sensitivity (154 dB SPL): 0.001 ms<sup>-2</sup> Base strain sensitivity (at 250  $\mu\epsilon$  in base plane): 0.003 ms<sup>-2</sup>/ $\mu\epsilon$ Humidity: 90% RH non-condensing Electrical connector: 10 – 32 UNF-2A Mounting thread: 10 – 32 UNF-2B Mounting surface flatness: < 3  $\mu$ m

### Signal Conditioner Specifications:

Input type: charge input Connector: TNC Input grounding: single-ended or floating Max Input: Differential charge: 10 nC (peak) Common mode voltage: 4.2 V (peak) Common mode rejection ratio: > 50 dBAmplifier gain: 0.1 mV/pC to 10 V/pC Transducer sensitivity range:  $10^{-19}$  to  $10^{-6}$  C/MU Calibrated output: Selectable in 10 dB steps. 100 dB attenuator range  $10^{-15}$  to  $10^7$  V/MU ±1% for 0 °C ≤ T<sub>a</sub> ≤ 40 °C and ±2% for -10 °C ≤ T<sub>a</sub> ≤ 55 °C Frequency range from 5 x  $f_1$  to 0.2 x  $f_u$  where  $f_1$  = lower frequency limit: 0.1, 1.0, or 10 Hz  $f_u$  = upper frequency limit: 0.1, 1, 3, 10, or 100 kHz Frequency range: Acceleration: 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz (transducer cable length < 10m) Velocity (optional): 1.0 Hz to 10 kHz Displacement (optional): 1.0 Hz to 10 kHz Low pass filter: 0.1, 1, 3, 10, 22.4, 30, or 100 kHz, attenuation slope 40 dB/decade High pass filter: Acceleration: 0.1, 1.0, or 10 Hz Velocity (optional): 1.0 or 10 Hz Displacement (optional): 1.0 or 10 Hz Inherent noise (2 Hz to 22.4 kHz): < 5 fC referred to input,  $-10 \text{ °C} \le T_a \le 40 \text{ °C}$ < 10 fC referred to input, 40 °C  $\leq$  T<sub>a</sub>  $\leq$  55 °C Harmonic distortion and noise (2 Hz to 22.4 kHz,  $Q_{in} \leq 2$  nC peak,  $V_{out} \leq 3.16$  V peak): < 0.003% for amplifier gain  $\leq 0.1 \text{ V/pC}$ Environmental susceptibility: Magnetic field: <0.2 fC/(A/m)Electromagnetic field: <20 fC/(V/m) or <4 fC/V Vibration (10 to 500 Hz):  $<30 \text{ fC}/(\text{m/s}^2)$ Output connector: BNC Output grounding: single-ended or floating Output impedance: 50 ohm//500 pF Max output (differential voltage): 3.16 V peak Max DC offset:  $\pm 25$  mV, typically  $\leq 2$  mV

Output protection:

Differential voltage:  $\leq 50$  V (peak)

Common mode voltage:  $\leq 15$  V (peak)

Common mode rejection: >50 dB (50 to 60 Hz) for common mode voltage  $\leq 2$  V peak Output drive capacity:

100 m of cable length (100 pF/m) to 20 kHz

1000 m of cable length (100 pF/m) to 2 Khz

Channel separation: better than -100 dB at 1 kHz

# APPENDIX B DRAFT CONSTRUCTION SPECIFICATIONS

# **Instrumented Rolling (Proof Rolling)**

- **1. Description.** Proof roll earthwork, base, or both to locate unstable, non-uniform, or low density areas.
- **2. Equipment.** Provide machinery, tools, and equipment necessary for the proper execution of the work. Provide a minimum 12 ton smooth drum vibratory roller and an accelerometer-based instrumentation system.
  - **A. Roller-Vibratory.** Provide a Drum (Type C) roller, with a static weight ≥ 12 tons, with a vibration frequency between 28 and 40 Hz, meeting the requirements of Item 210, "Rolling."
  - **B.** Instrumentation System. Provide an accelerometer-based instrumentation system, capable of measuring and displaying the roller drum vibration amplitude with distance or GPS location in real time, and capable of saving all data for retrieval by project personnel.
- **3.** Construction. Perform proof rolling as directed. Operate the roller at speeds that will produce at least 10 blows per foot unless otherwise shown on the plans or approved. Use the low amplitude setting on rollers with variable amplitude. Overlap passes by 1 to 2 ft.
  - Install the instrumentation system according to the manufacturer's instructions.
  - Initiate data collection and proof roll the section.
  - Provide the data collected to the Engineer for interpretation and spot testing.
  - If an unstable, non-uniform, or low density area is found, correct the area in accordance with the applicable Item.
- 4. Measurement. Rolling will be measured by the hour operated on the surface to be tested.
- 5. **Payment.** The work performed and equipment furnished in accordance with this Item and measured as provided under "Measurement" will be paid for at the unit price bid for "Instrumented Rolling (Proof Rolling)." This price is full compensation for furnishing and operating equipment and for labor, materials, tools, and incidentals.

# **Instrumented Rolling (Compaction Monitoring)**

- **1. Description.** Perform instrumented rolling during compaction of earthwork, base, or both, to evaluate when to stop rolling and where to focus additional compaction efforts.
- **2. Equipment.** Provide machinery, tools, and equipment necessary for the proper execution of the work. Provide a minimum 12 ton smooth drum vibratory roller and an accelerometer-based instrumentation system.
  - **A. Roller-Vibratory.** Provide a Drum (Type C) roller, with a static weight ≥ 12 tons, with a vibration frequency between 28 and 40 Hz, meeting the requirements of Item 210, "Rolling."
  - **B.** Instrumentation System. Provide an accelerometer-based instrumentation system, capable of measuring and displaying the roller drum vibration amplitude with distance or GPS location in real time, and capable of saving all data for retrieval by project personnel.
- **3.** Construction. Perform instrumented rolling to evaluate when to stop rolling and where to focus additional compaction efforts.
  - Install the instrumentation system according to the manufacturer's instructions.
  - Initiate and collect instrumented roller data during each pass of the compaction operation.
  - Cease rolling when the instrumentation data show the roller drum displacement does not increase with additional roller passes, or when density tests on the section pass.
  - Provide the instrumented roller data to the Engineer.
- **4. Measurement and Payment.** The work performed, materials furnished, equipment, labor, tools, and incidentals will not be measured or paid for directly but will be subsidiary to pertinent items.

# APPENDIX C DRAFT TEST PROCEDURE

# Setting Target IRV for Instrumented Rolling

## **Contents:**

Section 1 – Overview Section 2 – Definitions Section 3 – Apparatus Section 4 – Procedure Section 5 – Calculations Section 6 – Test Record Section 7 – Reporting Test Results

#### Overview

This method determines the relationship between the pavement layer modulus and the instrumented roller value (IRV) for purposes of setting the target IRV if desired for assisting with interpretation of proof rolling or compaction monitoring with an instrumented roller system. This Test Procedure must take place in the field during the compaction operations of the pavement layer surface to be tested.

## Definitions

This test method references the following terms and definitions:

- Instrumented Roller Value (IRV) the amplitude of the vibration waveform of the compactor drum in millimeters.
- Target IRV the IRV value at which the compaction process produces the specified pavement layer stiffness.

## Apparatus

The following apparatus is required:

- Roller a Drum (Type C) roller, with a static weight ≥ 12 tons, with a vibration frequency between 28 and 40 Hz, meeting the requirements of Item 210, "Rolling."
- Instrumentation System an accelerometer-based instrumentation system capable of measuring and displaying the roller drum vibration amplitude with distance or GPS location in real time, and capable of saving all data for retrieval by project personnel.
- Stiffness Measuring Device a spot test device including either a dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP), portable falling weight deflectometer (PFWD) or portable seismic pavement analyzer (PSPA) for measuring pavement layer modulus.

# Procedure

The following table lists the steps necessary to determine the relationship between IRV and pavement layer stiffness.

Setting Target IRV for Instrumented Rolling					
Step	Action				
1	At the construction site, select a pavement area at least 3500 ft <sup>2</sup> to perform the test. For				
	a typical roller this equates to 500 linear feet.				
2	Install the instrumentation system according to the manufacturer's instructions.				
3	During the compaction process, collect instrumented roller data on the test section.				
4	Initiate data collection at the start of the test section. Operate the roller on low				
	amplitude at a speed to produce at least 10 blows per foot.				
5	After the instrumented roller traverses the test section, review the plot of IRV with				
	distance for the section. Select a minimum of five spot test locations representing				
	observed low, average, and high IRV values from within the section.				
6	Perform a spot test with an approved stiffness measuring device at the centerline of each				
	test location selected. Conduct these tests in accordance with the device manufacturer's				
	instructions and the current state of the practice for the device used. For more reliable				
	results, collect three tests evenly spaced across the roller's width at each test location.				
7	Repeat Steps 3 through 6 as the layer compacts to the specified density.				

#### Calculations

Calculate the pavement layer modulus value from the stiffness measuring device according to the current state of the practice. If the stiffness measuring device used detects distinct pavement layers, calculate the modulus and thickness of each layer according to the current state of the practice. If more than one test was performed at each location, average the values from that spot.

Using the IRV data in conjuction with the pavement layer modulus measured by the stiffness device, use regression tools to predict the IRV from the layer modulus. Select the function that provides the best fit. If the stiffness measuring device used detects distinct pavement layers, perform this regression for each pavement layer.

Calculate the target IRV by inputting the target layer modulus into the regression equation developed. Obtain the target layer modulus either from plans or from the project Engineer.

#### **Test Record**

Record the project identification, test location, test date, layer tested, and other relevant project information for future reference. Record the spot test data for each spot test location.

#### Graph

Plot the IRV versus the pavement layer modulus as Figure B.1 shows. When the stiffness measuring device discerns different pavement layers, plot a separate graph for each pavement layer.



Figure B.1. Example IRV vs. Pavement Layer Modulus.

Plot the IRV and the layer modulus with distance as Figure B.2 shows. When the stiffness measuring device discerns different pavement layers, plot a separate graph for each pavement layer.



Figure B.2. Example IRV and Layer Modulus with Distance.

# **Reporting Test Results**

Report the following:

- equations and R<sup>2</sup> between IRV and pavement layer modulus values, and
- target IRV value to the nearest tenth of a millimeter.