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APPROXIMATE CONVERSIONS FROM METRIC MEASURES
SYMBOL WHEN YOU KNOW RULTIPLY BY TO FIND SYMBOL

|  | LENGTH |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mm | millimaters | 0.04 | inches | in |
| cm | cortimaters | 0.4 | Inctes | In |
| m | meiters | 3.3 | feat | 11 |
| m | meters | 11 | yords | yd |
| kn | kilometers | 0.6 | miles | ml |
|  |  | AREA |  |  |
| $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | square centimetera | 0.16 | square inctes | $\ln ^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | square meters | 1.2 | square yards | $\mathrm{yd}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ | square kilometors | 0.4 | square milles | mi ${ }^{2}$ |
| no | hectores (10, $00 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) | 2.5 | Ocres |  |


|  |  | MASS (weight) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | grams | 0.035 | cunces |
| kg | kilograms | 2.2 | pounds |


|  |  | VOLUME |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ml | millilitors | 8.03 | Pluid ounces | al |
| 1 | liters | 2.1 | pints | pt |
| 1 | llters | 1.06 | quarts | 91 |
| 1 | lliers | 0.26 | pollons | gol |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | cubic melers | 36 | aubic foel | $7^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | cubic meters | 1.3 | cuble yards | $\mathrm{da}^{3}$ |
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## INTRODUCTION

The Delaware Department of Transportation has proposed the lowering of motorist aid call boxes along the Delaware Interstate System to a height of 54 in ( 137 cm ) in order to make the call boxes accessible to wheelchair, handicapped individuals. Before beginning the necessary modifications the Delaware DOT recognized the need to evaluate the safety performance of the proposed call box installations. In order to meet this objective, four full-scale vehicle crash tests were performed at the Texas Transportation Institute Proving Grounds. Procedures and standards set forth in the National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report $230^{1}$ were used for conducting, evaluating and reporting these four tests. Reported herein are the findings of the tests.

The test article consisted of a motorist aid call box installation. The installation included a Signal Communications user powered call box, an aluminum sign, a 5 in ( 13 cm ) 0.D. aluminum pole, an antenna, four Transpo Pole-Safe breakaway couplings, shown in figure 1 , four 1 in $(2.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ by $40 \mathrm{in}(102 \mathrm{~cm})$ anchor bolts, and a $24 \mathrm{in}(61 \mathrm{~cm})$ diameter by $48 \mathrm{in}(122 \mathrm{~cm})$ deep concrete base, shown in figure 2. The call box and sign were mounted on the aluminum pole. The top-of-call box mounting height was 54 in ( 137 cm ) above ground level. The pole was connected to the concrete anchor bolts through the breakaway couplings.

In the first and second tests, 0335-1 and 0335-2 respectfully, the call boxes were oriented to face 90 degrees to the direction of traffic. Figure 3 shows details of the installations.

In the third test, 0335-3, the call box was oriented to face 90 degrees to the direction of traffic, as shown in figure 3, and the installation was located behind a metal post $W$-beam guardrail. The guardrail, shown in figure 4 , included 12 ga. W-beam rail elements, W6 $\times$ 9 steel posts and W6 $\times 9$ steel offset blocks. The rail elements were bolted to the offset blocks which were bolted to the posts. The top-ofrail mounting height was 27 in ( 686 cm ). The post spacing was 6.25 ft $(1.9 \mathrm{~m})$. The length of the guardrail including terminals was 125 ft ( 38 m ). The concrete base was placed midway between posts 8 and 9 such that the centerline of the aluminum pole was 25 in ( 63.5 cm ) from the face of the rail. The lateral positioning of the call box with respect to the guardrail is shown in figure 5.

To more closely represent the call box installations in use in Delaware which are not located behind guardrails, a fourth test, 0335-4, was performed with the call box oriented to face 180 degrees to the direction of vehicle travel. Figure 6 shows details of the installation for test 4.

Tests 1, 2, and 4 followed guidelines for breakaway and yielding supports described in Tests 62 and 63 of NCHRP Report $230^{1}$. Test 3 followed guidelines for longitudinal barriers described in Test 10 of NCHRP Report $230^{1}$. Test 1 was performed to evaluate the test article by observing the breakaway mechanism of the test article, the risk to an


Figure 1. Transpo Pole-Safe breakaway coupling.


Figure 2. Delaware DOT Type 5 pole base.


Figure 3. Details of call box installation for tests 0335-1, 0335-2 and 0335-3.


Figure 4. Details of W-beam guardrail.


Figure 5. Lateral position of call box and guardrail.


Figure 6. Details of call box installation for test 0335-4.
occupant and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Tests 2 and 4 were performed to evaluate the test article by observing vehicle stability and trajectory, the risk to an occupant, and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Test 3 was performed to evaluate the test article as installed behind a guardrail by observing vehicle stability and trajectory, and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Table 1 shows the crash test matrix.

Table 1. Crash test matrix.

| TEST DESIGNATION | NCHRP ${ }^{1}$ TEST NO. | VEHICLE WEIGHT <br> (lb) | IMPACT SPEED (mi/h) | IMPACT ANGLE (degree) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IMPACT } \\ & \text { POINT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0335-1 | 62 | 1800 | 20 | 0 | Center of bumper |
| 0335-2 | 63 | 1800 | 60 | 0 | Right quarter of bumper |
| 0335-3 | 10 | 4500 | 60 | 25 | Midway between posts |
| 0335-4 | 63 | 1800 | 60 | 0 | Right quarter of bumper |

## INSTRUMENTATION AND DATA ANALYSES

## Electronic Instrumentation

The vehicles in tests 1,2 , and 4 were equipped with biaxial accelerometers mounted near the center-of-gravity to measure longitudinal and lateral accelerations. The vehicle in test 3 was equipped with triaxial accelerometers mounted near the center-of-gravity to measure longitudinal, lateral, and vertical accelerations. In addition yaw, pitch, and roll rates were measured by on-board rate gyros in all tests. The electronic signals were telemetered to a base station for recording on magnetic tape and for display on a real-time strip chart. Provisions were made for transmission of calibration signals before and after the tests. Accurate time reference signals were simultaneously recorded with the data.

Contact switches on the bumpers were actuated just prior to impact by wooden dowels to indicate the elapsed time over a known distance to provide a measurement of impact velocities. The initial contacts also produced "event" marks on the data records to establish the instant of impact.

In accordance with NCHRP Report $230^{1}$, an unrestrained, uninstrumented special purpose 50 th percentile anthropomorphic test dummy was positioned in the driver seat of the test vehicle in tests 1,2 and 4. This dummy was used to evaluate typical asymmetrical vehicle mass distribution and its effect on vehicle stability during impact.

## Photographic Instrumentation

Still photography, real-time cine, and video were used to record conditions of the test vehicle and installation before and after each test. Real-time and high speed cine and video were used to document the tests. In tests 1, 2, and 4 one high speed camera was placed to have a field of view perpendicular to the direction of vehicle travel. Another high speed camera was placed to have a field of view 45 degrees to the direction of vehicle travel. In test 1 the perpendicular camera did not perform properly. In test 3 one high speed camera was placed to have a field of view perpendicular to the direction of vehicle travel. Another high speed camera was placed to have a field of view parallel to and
aligned with the guardrail at the downstream end. A third high speed camera was placed over the call box installation to have a field of view perpendicular to the ground. These developed films from each test were used to observe phenomena occurring during collision and obtain timeevent, displacement and angular data.

## Computer Data Analyses

Analog data obtained from the electronic transducers for each test were digitized using a microcomputer for analyses by three computer programs: CRASHE, VEHICLE and PLOTANGLE.

The CRASHE program uses digitized data from one or two vehiclemounted linear accelerometers to compute occupant/compartment impact velocities, times at occupant/compartment impacts after vehicle impact, final occupant displacements, highest 0.010 -second averages of vehicle accelerations after occupant/compartment impacts, and times of highest 0.010 -second averages. These results are based on $2 \mathrm{ft}(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ longitudinal and $1 \mathrm{ft}(0.5 \mathrm{~m})$ lateral impact displacements, and are given for the longitudinal and/or vertical directions. The CRASHE program also calculates a vehicle impact velocity and the change in vehicle velocity at the end of a given impulse period.

The VEHICLE program also uses digitized data from up to three vehicle-mounted linear accelerometers to compute vehicle accelerations, areas enclosed by acceleration-time curves, changes in velocity, changes in momentum, instantaneous forces, average forces, and maximum average accelerations over 0.050-second intervals in each of the directions. The VEHICLE program also plots acceleration versus time curves for the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical directions.

The PLOTANGLE program uses the digitized data from the yaw, pitch, and roll rate charts to compute angular displacement in degrees at 0.001 -second intervals and then instructs a plotter to draw a reproducible plot: yaw, pitch, and roll versus time. It should be noted that these angular displacements are sequence dependent with the sequence being yaw-pitch-roll for the data presented herein. These displacements are in reference to the vehicle-fixed coordinate system with the initial position and orientation of the vehicle-fixed coordinate system being that which existed at initial impact.

## TEST 0335-1

## Test Description

Test 0335-1, performed on February 11, 1986, followed guidelines for breakaway and yielding supports described in Test 62 of NCHRP Report $230^{1}$. The test installation is shown in figure 7. The 1979 Honda shown in figure 8 was directed into the call box installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. The Test Inertia Mass of the vehicle was $1,783 \mathrm{lb}(809 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its Gross Static Mass was $1,953 \mathrm{lb}$ ( 887 kg ). Vehicle mass properties and geometry are shown in figure 9. The point of impact was such that the center of the vehicle bumper contacted the call box support pole. The vehicle bumper height was 17.6 in ( 44.8 cm ). The test vehicle was released from the tow and guidance system just prior to impact, thus it was free-wheeling and unrestrained at impact. The velocity of the vehicle at impact was $18.2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(29.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$.

## Test Results

As the center of the vehicle bumper impacted the call box pole, the pole pushed the bumper inward. The vehicle hood and grill then contacted the pole. As the hood and grill crushed inward, the breakaway couplings began leaning away from the vehicle. The pole flange began to break out at the coupling connections. As the flange broke out, the anchor bolts on three couplings sheared. The pole then began to rotate about a lateral axis with the lower end of the pole moving forward in the direction of vehicle travel. The couplings, with attached flange pieces, began tumbling forward. As the pole assembly moved forward, the call box housing began to separate from the mount, falling open while remaining attached by its hinge. The pole then parted from the vehicle as both the pole assembly and the vehicle continued to move forward. The pole dropped as it rotated about a lateral axis and somewhat about a vertical axis. The pole again contacted the hood at a point on the pole above the call box. The sign, still attached to the pole, then slapped the upper half of the vehicle windshield and the front of the roof. The assembly slowly slid forward and down the vehicle until the lower end of the pole contacted the ground. The vehicle brakes were applied. Table 2 presents the significant events of this test by time.


Figure 7. Call box installation before test 0335-1.


Figure 3. Test vehicle before test 0335-1.
Date: $2 / 11 / 86$ Test No.: $\quad$ O335-1____ FIN: SBC-7013238
Make: Honda Model: Civic _1200_ Year: 1979 Odometer: 79457.1


4-wheel weight for cog. dit. elf 560 rf 517 \&r_370 rr. 336

| Mass - pounds | Curb | Test Inertial | Gross Static |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ |  | 1077 <br> $M_{2}$ <br> $M_{T}$ |  |
|  |  | 706 |  |

Note any damage to vehicle prior to test:
Engine Type: _ 4 cyl
Engine CID: 76
Transmission Type:
Automatic or Manual
FWD or RWD or 4WD
Body Type: 3_0r Hatch Back
Steering Column Collapse Mechanism:
Behind wheel units
$x$ Convoluted tube
Cylindrical mesh units Embedded ball
_NOT collapsible
_Other energy absorption
_Unknown
Brakes:
Front: discx_drum_
Rear: disc_ drum $x$
*d $=$ overall height of vehicle

Date: $2 / 11 / 86$ Table Test Nqimes 0335-1


4-wheel weight ${ }^{+}$ef 560 rf $\underset{517}{\text { Vehicle }}$ er brakes were applied

Mass - pounds Curb Test Inertial Gross Static
Body Type: 3 Dr Hatch Back
Steering Column Collapse
$M_{1} \quad$ The vehicle and assemlory came to refteqwith the sign Mechanism: resting against
$M_{2}$ the hood of the vehicle. Jwo vehicle's gopter of gravitycoakolfital untest

 -doupriangdoreace into two major pieces. The lower portionakef: the coupling remained on the anchor bolt. The upper part traveledrowitth dqjex podemn attached to the remaining pole flange. Sequential phimagraptiscof then $x$ *d = overall height of vehiclegure 10. Figure 11 shows the installation after test 0335-1.

Figure 9. Test vehicle properties before test 0335-1.


Figure 10. Sequential photographs for test 0335-1.

Figure 11. Call box installation after test 0335-1.

The test vehicle sustained minor damages to the front end as shown in figure 12. Maximum crush to the frontal plane of the vehicle at bumper height was 9.3 in ( 23.6 cm ). The hood was crushed inward about 3 in ( 7.6 cm ). The grill was broken into two pieces. The front valance panel was also bent. The front windshield was undamaged. After the test, the anthropomorphic dummy was observed to be in the driver seat in approximately its original position.

The change in velocity at the end of significant vehicle response, 0.500 -second, was $6.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(9.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$. This yields a change in momentum of $496 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}(225 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{s})$. The calculated occupant longitudinal impact velocity was $8.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010-second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.73 g . The calculated occupant lateral impact velocity was $5.4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(1.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum $0.010-$ second average lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.75 g . Figures showing accelerometer traces for the test vehicle with locations of the highest 0.050 -second averages and vehicle angular displacements are given in the Appendix. Results are summarized in figure 13.


Figure 12. Venicle after test 0335-7.

0.000 s
0.025 s
0.074 s
0.124 s


## Direction of travel



Impact Speed. . . . . . $18.2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(29.3 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Change in Velocity. . . $6.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(9.8 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Change in Momentum. . . $496 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}(225 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{s})$
Vehicle Accelerations . (Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal . . . . -4.7 g
Lateral . . . . . . 0.9 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudina 1. . . . . $8.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . . . . $5.4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(1.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . . . . -0.73 g
Lateral . . . . . . . 0.75 g
Maximum Vehicle Crush at
Bumper Height . . . . 9.3 in ( 23.6 cm )

Figure 13. Sumnary of results for test 0335-1.

## Performance Evaluation

This call box installation met the evaluation criteria recommended in NCHRP Report $230^{1}$ for Test 62 for structural adequacy, occupant risk and vehicle trajectory.

The installation readily activated by breaking away. The manner of activation was not completely expected, however, due to the nature of breakaway devices. The flange and anchor bolts at three of the four supports fractured rather than the breakaway couplings. A similar activation would be predicted in a duplicate test. The detached elements from the test article did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment, nor presented undue hazard to other traffic due to the off-road location of the elements. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision. The calculated longitudinal occupant impact velocity against the vehicle interior was below the limit of $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. The absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010 -second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was below the limit of 15 g . After collision, the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position was such that the vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle trajectory behind this test article was acceptable.

TEST 0335-2

## Test Description

Test 0335-2, performed on February 12, 1986, followed guidelines for breakaway and yielding supports described in Test 63 of NCHRP Report $230^{1}$. The test installation is shown in figure 14. The 1979 Honda shown in figure 15 was directed into the call box installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. The Test Inertia Mass of the vehicle was $1,750 \mathrm{lb}(795 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its Gross Static Mass was $1,917 \mathrm{lb}$ $(870 \mathrm{~kg})$. Vehicle mass properties and geometry are shown in figure 16. The point of impact was such that the right quarter point of the vehicle bumper contacted the call box support pole. The vehicle bumper height was 17.6 in (44.8) cm). The test vehicle was released from the tow and guidance system just prior to impact, thus it was free-wheeling and unrestrained at impact. The velocity of the vehicle at impact was 64.6 $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ ( $103.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ).

## Test Results

As the right quarter point of the vehicle bumper impacted the call box pole, the pole pushed the bumper inward. The vehicle hood and grill then contacted the pole. As the hood, grill and bumper crushed inward, the breakaway couplings began leaning away from the vehicle, and the pole flange began to break out at the coupling connections. As the flange broke out, the anchor bolts on the couplings sheared. The pole then began to rotate about a lateral axis with the lower end of the pole moving forward in the direction of vehicle travel. The couplings, with attached flange pieces, began tumbling forward. The pole also began to rotate counterclockwise about a vertical axis and rise upward. As the pole assembly moved forward, the call box housing separated from the mount. The vehicle then lost contact with the pole as both the pole assembly and the vehicle continued to move forward. The handle on the detached call box housing contacted the lower portion of the vehicle windshield just as the housing struck the middle of the windshield. The housing partially penetrated the windshield. The call box generator came out of the housing and began to rise upward. One of the couplings and a flange fragment were propelled forward ahead of the vehicle. Another coupling rose upward about $2 \mathrm{ft}(0.6 \mathrm{~m})$ as it came out from



Figure 15. Test vehicle before test 0335-2.

Date:
2/12/86
Test No.: 0335-2
VIN: SBC-7025804
Make: Honda Model: Civic 1200 Year: 1979 $\qquad$ Odometer: 95998.2 Tire Size: 600/12 Ply Rating: $\quad$ _ Bias Ply: ___


Tire Condition: good. $\underline{x}$
fair -
badly worn _

Vehicle Geometry - inches
a $\qquad$ b 27.8
C

$\qquad$
e 27.3 f 141.5
g $\qquad$ h 35.3

$\qquad$
m $\qquad$ n 5.3
$0-15.3$
p 51.3
$r 22.5$ $\qquad$

Engine Type:
4 cyl
Engine CID: $\qquad$
Transmission Type:
Automatic or Manual
FWD or RWD or 4WD
Body Type: 3 Dr Hatch Back
Steering Column Collapse Mechanism:

Behind wheel units XConvoluted tube Cylindrical mesh units —Embedded ball -NOT collapsible -Other energy absorption —Unknown

Brakes:
Front: disc_x drum_
Rear: disc $\qquad$ drum $x$


[^0]Figure 16. Test vehicle properties before test 0335-2.
under the right side of the vehicle. The vehicle then passed under the pole assembly and the generator. The pole had reached a height of approximately $6 \mathrm{ft}(1.8 \mathrm{~m})$ while positioned parallel to the ground. The antenna separated from the pole as the pole continued to rotate about lateral and vertical axes. The call box housing rose upward, losing contact with the vehicle. After approximately 360 degrees of lateral rotation and 180 degrees of vertical rotation, the lower end of the pole contacted the ground. The vehicle brakes were applied. Table 3 presents the significant events of this test by time.

Table 3. Times of events for test 0335-2.

| Time ( sec ) | Event |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | Vehicle bumper impacted pole |
| 0.005 | Vehicle hood and grill contacted pole, breakaway couplings began to lean, flange began to break out |
| 0.010 | Couplings with broken flange pieces began to separate from pole, pole began to rotate about a lateral axis |
| 0.017 | Couplings began to tumble |
| 0.025 | Pole began to rotate about its vertical axis |
| 0.035 | Call box housing began to open |
| 0.052 | Vehicle lost contact with pole |
| 0.054 | Handle on call box housing contacted vehicle windshield |
| 0.072 | Call box housing contacted windshield |
| 0.168 | Antenna separated from pole |
| 0.193 | Call box housing lost contact with windshield |
| 0.594 | Lower end of pole hit ground |
| $3.0+$ | Vehicle brakes were applied |

The center of gravity of the vehicle at final rest was approximate$1 y 225 \mathrm{ft}(68.6 \mathrm{~m})$ in the longitudinal direction and $43 \mathrm{ft}(13.1 \mathrm{~m})$ to the right of the pole base. The pole landed approximately $21 \mathrm{ft}(6.4 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. Two of the four breakaway couplings that separated from the base by the shearing of the anchor bolts came to rest approximately $60 \mathrm{ft}(18.3 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. These two couplings were connected by one piece of the broken flange. Another coupling came to rest about 35 ft ( 10.7 m ) from the base. The fourth coupling was not located. The call box housing was found $125 \mathrm{ft}(38.1 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. The call box generator was located $65 \mathrm{ft}(19.8 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. The antenna landed $3 \mathrm{ft}(0.9 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. Sequential photographs of the test are shown in figure 17. Figure 18 shows the installation after test 0335-2.

The test vehicle sustained major damages to the front end and windshield as shown in figure 19. Maximum crush to the frontal plane of the vehicle at bumper height was 15.3 in ( 38.7 cm ). The hood was crushed inward about 10 in ( 25 cm ). The grill was broken into two pieces. The front valance panel was crushed inward. The right half of the front windshield was shattered and torn. The radiator was punctured and leaked fluid. After the test, the anthropomorphic dummy was observed to be in the driver seat leaning toward the door. The dummy had contacted the sun visor.

The change in velocity at the end of significant vehicle response, 0.500 -second, was $7.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(11.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$. This yields a change in momentum of $566 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}(257 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{s})$. The calculated occupant longitudinal impact velocity was $8.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum $0.010-$ second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was 1.03 g . The calculated occupant lateral displacement was 0.33 ft ( 0.1 m ) showing no lateral impact. Figures showing accelerometer traces for the test vehicle with locations of the highest 0.050 -second averages and vehicle angular displacements are given in the Appendix. Results are summarized in figure 20.


Figure 17. Sequential photographs for test 0335-2


Figure 18. Call box installation after test 0335-2.


Figure 19. Vehicle after test 0335-2.




Impact Speed. . . . . . $64.6 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(103.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Change in Velocity. . . $7.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(11.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Change in Momentum. . . $566 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}$ ( $257 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{s}$ )
Vehicle Accelerations . (Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal . . . . -3.8 g
Lateral . . . . . . 0.8 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . . . . $8.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . . . . No Occupant Impact
Occupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . . . . -1.03 g
Lateral . . . . . . . No Occupant Impact
Maximum Vehicle Crush at
Bumper Height . . . . 15.3 in ( 38.7 cm )

Figure 20. Summary of results for test 0335-2.

## Performance Evaluation

This call box installation did not meet the evaluation criteria recommended in NCHRP Report $230^{1}$ for Test 63 for structural adequacy. The installation met the criteria for occupant risk and vehicle trajectory.

The installation readily activated by breaking away. The manner of activation was not completely expected, however, due to the nature of breakaway devices. The flange and anchor bolts at the four supports fractured rather than the breakaway couplings. A similar activation would be predicted in a duplicate test. The test article displayed structural inadequacy as detached call box housing penetrated the occupant compartment. The detached elements did not show potential for presenting undue hazard to other traffic due to the off-road location of the elements. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision. The calculated longitudinal occupant impact velocity against the vehicle interior was below the limit of $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. The absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010 -second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was below the limit of 15 g . After collision, the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position was such that the vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle trajectory behind this test article was acceptable.

## TEST 0335-3

## Test Description

Test 0335-3, performed on February 25, 1986, followed guidelines for longitudinal barriers described in Test 10 of NCHRP Report $230^{1}$. The test installation is shown in figure 21. The 1979 Ford LTD II shown in figure 22 was directed into the guardrail using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. The Test Inertia Mass and Gross Static Mass of the vehicle was 4500 lb ( 2043 kg ). Vehicle mass properties and geometry are shown in figure 23. The point of impact was such that the right corner of the vehicle contacted the guardrail midway between posts 6 and 7 , $40.6 \mathrm{ft}(12.4 \mathrm{~m})$ downstream from the upstream terminal at a 25.1 degree angle to the rail. The vehicle bumper height was 15.4 in ( 39.1 cm ). The test vehicle was released from the tow and guidance system just prior to impact, thus it was free-wheeling and unrestrained at impact. The velocity of the vehicle at impact was $62.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(101.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$.

## Test Results

As the vehicle impacted the $W$-beam rail, the bumper began to shift toward the left, the rail and post 7 began to displace to the right, and the vehicle began to rotate counterclockwise. The front corner of the vehicle crushed inward, popping out the grill and causing the hood to raise. The grill swept upward striking the call box. The rail contacted the call box pole. The breakaway couplings began to lean just before the couplings and the pole flange broke away. The call box housing began to open as the pole began to rotate about longitudinal and lateral axes with the bottom end of the pole moving forward and to the right. The partially raised hood contacted the call box housing. The pole then began to rotate about its vertical axis. The hood began buckling as the housing separated from the mount. The hood lost contact with the housing, projecting the housing forward and to the right. The call box generator separated from the housing. As the vehicle redirected to an exit angle of 14.7 degrees to the rail, the vehicle lost contact with the rail. The upper end of the pole contacted the ground after approximately 45 degrees of longitudinal, 110 degrees of lateral and 90 degrees of vertical rotation. The vehicle brakes were applied. Table 4 presents the significant events of this test by time.

Figure 21. Call box installation before test 0335-3.


Figure 22. Test vehicle before test 0335-3.

Date: $\qquad$ Test No.: 0335-3 VIN: 9H31H130405

Make: Ford Model: $\qquad$ Year: 1979 $\qquad$ Odometer: 89010.8 Tire Size: P205/75R14 Ply Rating: 4 Bias Ply: $\qquad$ Belted: _ Radial: $\underline{X}$

Tire Condition: good $\qquad$
fair ․
badly worn _

Vehicle Geometry - inches

| a 78.5 | b 48.8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| c 117.5 | $\mathrm{d}^{*} \quad 55.0$ |
| e 53.0 | f 219.3 |
| g | h 50.9 |
| i | j 34.0 |
| k 17.5 | $\ell 41.0$ |
| m 19.0 | n 5.0 |
| 11.8 | P 63.5 |
| r 26.0 | s 15.2 |

Engine Type: 8 cyl
Engine CID: 351
Transmission Type:
Automatic or Manual
FWD or RWD or 4WD
Body Type: 4 Dr

| Mass - pounds | Curb | Test Inertial | Gross Static |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{1}$ |  | 2550 | 2550 |
| $M_{2}$ |  | 1950 | 1950 |
| M |  | 4500 | 4500 |

Note any damage to vehicle prior to test:
No prior damage.
*d = overall height of vehicle

Table 4. Times of events for test 0335-3.

| Time (sec) | Event |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | Vehicle bumper impacted rail |
| 0.013 | Pole 7 began to displace |
| 0.028 | Right front corner of vehicle began crushing inward |
| 0.035 | Post 8 began to displace, vehicle grill popped out, vehicle hood began to raise |
| 0.078 | Left end of bumper contacted ground |
| 0.090 | Post 9 began to displace |
| 0.121 | Grill struck call box |
| 0.136 | Rail contacted pole, post 10 began to displace |
| 0.146 | Coupling began to lean |
| 0.150 | Couplings and flange broke, pole began to rotate about longitudinal and lateral axes, call box housing began to open |
| 0.171 | Hood contacted call box housing, hood began to buckle, housing separated from mount, pole began to rotate about a vertical axis |
| 0.235 | Hood lost contact with housing, post 11 began to displace |
| 0.251 | Call box generator separated from housing |
| 0.284 | Vehicle and guardrail became parallel to each other |
| 0.540 | Vehicle lost contact with rail |
| 0.600 | Upper end of pole contacted ground |
| 1.5+ | Vehicle brakes were applied |

The center of gravity of the vehicle at final rest was approximately $140 \mathrm{ft}(42.7 \mathrm{~m})$ in the longitudinal direction and $25 \mathrm{ft}(7.6 \mathrm{~m})$ to
the left of the pole base. The pole came to rest $9 \mathrm{ft}(2.7 \mathrm{~m})$ to the right of the rail with the upper end of the pole aligned with post 11 and the lower end of the pole aligned with post 12 . All four breakaway couplings separated from the base by the shearing of the anchor bolts. Two of the couplings also sheared with the upper portions remaining fastened to the pole flange. One of the lower portions landed 14 ft $(4.3 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base and the other portion landed $21 \mathrm{ft}(6.4 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. The third coupling with attached flange piece came to rest $35 \mathrm{ft}(10.7 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. The fourth coupling landed with the call box housing and generator approximately $83 \mathrm{ft}(25 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. Sequential photographs of the test are shown in figure 24 . Figure 25 shows the call box installation after test 0335-3.

A maximum permanent rail deflection of 22.3 in ( 56.5 cm ) was measured midway between posts 8 and 9. The dynamic rail deflection obtained from the overhead high speed film was 38.5 in ( 97.8 cm ). The rail remained deformed over a $44 \mathrm{ft}(13.4 \mathrm{~m})$ length between posts 5 and 12. Posts 8 and 9 showed evidence of vehicle snagging. Posts 8,9 and 10 showed tire rubbing marks. The bolt head and washer that fastened the rail to the offset block at post 8 had torn through the rail. Figure 26 shows the guardrail after test 0335-3.

The test vehicle sustained extensive damage to the front end and right side as shown in figure 27. Maximum crush to the frontal plane of the vehicle at bumper height was 26.5 in ( 67.3 cm ). Vehicle exterior items that were damaged were the hood and latch, front end panel, grill, front bumper, right head lights and turn signal, right and left front fenders, right front and rear doors, right rear quarter panel, rear bumper, rear tail light, and windshield. The frame was slightly bent. The right upper ball joint was separated. The radiator and support, fan and front bumper shocks were all damaged. The right front and rear tires were punctured and the rims were bent.

0.183 s

Figure 24. Sequential photographs for test 0335-3.

0.284 s

0.385 s

0.507 s

0.649 s

Figure 24. Sequential photographs for test 0335-3 (continued).

Figure 25. Call box installation after test 0335-3.


Figure 26. Guardrail after test 0335-3.


Figure 27. Vehicle after test 0335-3.

The change in velocity at the end of significant vehicle response, 0.500 -second, was $22.2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(35.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$. This yields a change in momentum of $4551 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}(2066 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{s})$. The calculated occupant longitudinal impact velocity was $23.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010 -second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was 5.7 g . The calculated occupant lateral impact velocity was $19.4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(5.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010 -second average lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 11.2 g . Figures showing accelerometer traces for the test vehicle with locations of the highest 0.050 -second averages and vehicle angular displacements are given in the Appendix. Results are summarized in figure 28.



Test No. . . . . . . . . . 0335-3
NCHRP Report 230 Test No . 10
Date . . . . . . . . . . . 2/25/86
Test Article . . . . . . . Motorist call box
with 54 in ( 137 cm ) height
behind "W" section guardrail
Length of Guardrail. . . . $125 \mathrm{ft}(38 \mathrm{~m})$
Rail Deflection
Permanent. . . . . . . . 22.3 in ( 56.5 cm )
Dynamic. . . . . . . . . $38.5 \mathrm{in}(97.8 \mathrm{~cm})$
Vehicle. . . . . . . . . . 1979 Ford LTD II
Vehicle Weight
Test Inertia . . . . . . 4,500 $1 \mathrm{~b}(2,043 \mathrm{~kg})$
Gross Static . . . . . . $4,5001 \mathrm{~b}(2,043 \mathrm{~kg})$
Vehicle Damage Classification
TAD. . . . . . . . . . . 1-FR-5 \& 1-RD-4
SAE. . . . . . . . . . . O1FREK1 \& 01RDES2

Impact Speed. . . . . . $62.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(101.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Impact Angle. . . . . . 25.1 degrees
Exit Angle. . . . . . . 14.7 degrees
Change in Velocity. . . $22.2 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(35.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$
Change in Momentum. . . $4551 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}$ (2066 kg-s)
Vehicle Accelerations . (Max. 0.050-sec Avg)
Longitudinal. . . . . -6.1 g
Lateral . . . . . . . 8.0 g
Vertical. . . . . . . 2.4 g
Occupant Impact Velocity
Longitudinal. . . . $23.7 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(7.2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
Lateral . . . . . . $19.4 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(5.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$
0ccupant Ridedown Accelerations
Longitudinal. . . . . -5.7 g
Lateral . . . . . . . 11.2 g
Maximum Vehicle Crush at
Bumper Height . . . . $26.5 \mathrm{in}(67.3 \mathrm{~cm})$

Figure 28. Summary of results for test 0335-3.

## Performance Evaluation

This guardrail and call box installation met the evaluation criteria recommended in NCHRP Report $230^{1}$ for Test 10 for structural adequacy, occupant risk and vehicle trajectory.

The guardrail smoothly redirected the test vehicle without allowing the vehicle to penetrate the guardrail. The detached elements from the guardrail and call box installation did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment, nor presented undue hazard to other traffic. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision. After collision, the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position were such that the vehicle intruded a minimum distance into the adjacent traffic lane.

## Test Description

Test 0335-4, performed on May 7, 1986, followed guidelines for breakaway and yielding supports described in Test 63 of NCHRP Report $230^{1}$. The test installation is shown in figure 29. The 1979 Honda shown in figure 30 was directed into the call box installation using a cable reverse tow and guidance system. The Test Inertia Mass of the vehicle was $1783 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{lb}(809 \mathrm{~kg})$ and its Gross Static Mass was $1953 \mathrm{lb}(887 \mathrm{~kg})$. Vehicle mass properties and geometry are shown in figure 31. The point of impact was such that the right quarter point of the vehicle bumper contacted the call box support pole. The vehicle bumper height was 17.6 in ( 44.8 cm ). The test vehicle was released from the tow and guidance system just prior to impact, thus it was free-wheeling and unrestrained at impact. The velocity of the vehicle at impact was $60.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(98.0 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$.

## Test Results

As the right quarter point of the vehicle bumper impacted the call box pole, the pole pushed the bumper inward. The vehicle hood and grill then contacted the pole. As the hood, grill and bumper crushed inward, the breakaway couplings began leaning away from the vehicle, the front two couplings sheared, and the pole flange began to break out at the back two coupling connections. As the flange broke out, the anchor bolts on the back two couplings sheared. The pole then began to rotate about a lateral axis with the lower end of the pole moving forward in the direction of vehicle travel. The two couplings, with attached flange piece, began tumbling forward. The vehicle then lost contact with the pole as both the pole assembly and the vehicle continued to move forward. The vehicle then passed under the pole assembly. The pole had reached a height of approximately $6 \mathrm{ft}(1.8 \mathrm{~m})$ while positioned parallel to the ground. The antenna separated from the pole.

After approximately 490 degrees of lateral rotation, the lower end of the pole contacted the ground. The vehicle brakes were applied. Table 5 presents the significant events of this test by time.


Figure 29. Call box installation before test 0335-4.


Fiqure 32. Test venicle before test 0335-4.

Date: $\qquad$ Test No.: 0335-4
VIN: SBC-7013238
Make: Honda Model: Civic _1200_ Year: 1979 Odometer: 79458.3 Tire Size: Pl55/12 Ply Rating: $4 \quad$ Bias Ply: __ Belted: $x$ Radial:


Tire Condition: good $x$ fair fair badly worn _

Vehicle Geometry - inches
a 59.0
b 27.8

| c $\frac{86.5}{27.3}$ |
| :--- |
| g $-\cdots$ |

$\mathrm{d}^{*}$. 52.3
f 141.5
i
$\qquad$
k $\qquad$ j 31.5


Table 5. Times of events for test 0335-4.

| Time (sec) | Event |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.000 | Vehicle bumper impacted pole <br> 0.005 |
| Vehicle hood and grill contacted <br> pole, breakaway couplings began to lean, <br> couplings shear, flange began to break <br> out |  |
| 0.013 | Couplings with broken flange piece began to <br> separate from pole, pole began to rotate <br> about a lateral axis |
| 0.020 | Couplings began to tumble |
| 0.045 | Vehicle lost contact with pole |
| 0.169 | Sign contacted back of vehicle roof |
| 0.189 | Antenna separated from pole |
| 0.785 | Lower end of pole hit ground |
| $3.0+$ | Vehicle brakes were applied |

The center of gravity of the vehicle at final rest was approximately $230 \mathrm{ft}(70 \mathrm{~m})$ in the longitudinal direction. The pole landed approximately $43 \mathrm{ft}(13 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. Two of the four breakaway couplings that separated from the base by the shearing of the anchor bolts came to rest approximately $235 \mathrm{ft}(72 \mathrm{~m}$ ) from the base. These two couplings were connected by one piece of the broken flange. The antenna landed $15 \mathrm{ft}(4.6 \mathrm{~m})$ from the base. Sequential photographs of the test are shown in figure 32. Figure 33 shows the installation after test 0335-4.

The test vehicle sustained major damages to the front end as shown in figure 34. Maximum crush to the frontal plane of the vehicle at bumper height was 13.7 in ( 34.8 cm ). The hood was crushed inward about 8 in ( 20.3 cm ). The grill was broken into two pieces. The front valance panel was crushed inward. The radiator was punctured and leaked fluid. After the test, the anthropomorphic dummy was observed to be in the driver seat laying toward the passenger seat. Dummy movement was mainly due to after test vehicle braking.

The change in velocity at the end of significant vehicle response, 0.500 -second, was $6.6 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(10.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h})$. This yields a change in momentum of $536 \mathrm{lb}-\mathrm{s}$ ( $243 \mathrm{~kg}-\mathrm{s}$ ). The calculated occupant longitudinal impact velocity was $7.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(2.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010 -second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.86 g . The calculated occupant lateral impact velocity was $5.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(1.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ and the absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010-second average lateral occupant ridedown acceleration was 0.85 g . Figures showing accelerometer traces for the test vehicle with locations of the highest 0.050 -second averages are given in the Appendix. Results are summarized in figure 35.


Figure 32. Sequential photographs for test 0335-4.



Figure 34. Venicie after test 0335-4.




Figure 35. Summary of results for test 0335-4.

## Performance Evaluation

This call box installation met the evaluation criteria recommended in NCHRP Report $230^{1}$ for Test 63 for structural adequacy, occupant risk and vehicle trajectory.

The installation readily activated by breaking away. The manner of activation was not completely expected, however, due to the nature of breakaway devices. The flange and anchor bolts at two of the four supports fractured rather than the breakaway couplings. A similar activation would be predicted in a duplicate test. The detached elements from the test article did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment, nor presented undue hazard to other traffic due to the off-road location of the elements. The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision. The calculated longitudinal occupant impact velocity against the vehicle interior was below the limit of $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$. The absolute value of the measured maximum 0.010-second average longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration was below the limit of 15 g . After collision, the vehicle trajectory and final stopping position was such that the vehicle did not intrude into adjacent traffic lanes. The vehicle trajectory behind this test article was acceptable.

Four full-scale vehicle crash tests were performed for the Delaware Department of Transportation at the Texas Transportation Institute Proving Grounds to evaluate the safety performance of a motorist aid call box system with a top-of-call box mounting height of 54 in ( 137 cm ). All tests were performed in accordance with NCHRP Report $230^{1}$.

Test 1 used a $1800 \mathrm{lb}(817 \mathrm{~kg})$ vehicle impacting the call box installation at a velocity of $20 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(32 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{h})$. The call box was oriented to face 90 degrees from the direction of traffic. This test was performed to evaluate the test article by observing the breakaway mechanism of the installation, the risk to an occupant, and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Analyses of the first test revealed the following:

- The installation readily activated by breaking away.
- The detached elements from the test article did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment, nor presented undue hazard to other traffic.
- The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision.
- The longitudinal occupant impact velocity of $8.1 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ $(2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ was below the 1 imit of $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$.
- The absolute value of the longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration of 0.73 g was below the limit of 15 g .
- The after collision vehicle trajectory and final stopping position was acceptable.
Test 2 used a 1800 lb ( 817 kg ) vehicle impacting the call box installation at a velocity of $60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(97 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{h})$. The call box was oriented to face 90 degrees from the direction of traffic. This test was performed to evaluate the test article by observing vehicle stability and trajectory, the risk to an occupant, and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Analyses of the second test revealed the following:
- The installation readily activated by breaking away.
- The call box housing penetrated into the occupant compartment.
- The detached elements from the test article did not present undue hazard to other traffic.
- The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision.
- The longitudinal occupant impact velocity of $8.5 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ $(2.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ was below the 1 imit of $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$.
- The absolute value of the longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration of 1.03 g was below the limit of 15 g .
- The after collision vehicle trajectory and final stopping position were acceptable.
Test 3 used a $4500 \mathrm{lb}(2043 \mathrm{~kg}$ ) vehicle impacting the guardrail at a velocity of $60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(97 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{h})$ and at a 25 degree angle. The call box was oriented to face 90 degrees from the direction of traffic. This test was performed to evaluate the test article by observing vehicle stability and trajectory, and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Analysis of the third test revealed the following:
- The guardrail contained and smoothly redirected the test vehicle.
- The detached elements from the guardrail and call box installation did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment, nor presented undue hazard to other traffic.
- The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision.
- The after collision vehicle trajectory and final stopping position was acceptable.
Test 4 used a $1800 \mathrm{lb}(817 \mathrm{~kg})$ vehicle impacting the call box installation at a velocity of $60 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}(97 \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{h})$. The call box was oriented to face 180 degrees from the direction of traffic. This test was performed to evaluate the test article by observing vehicle stability and trajectory, the risk to an occupant, and any penetration into the occupant compartment by detached test article debris. Analysis of the fourth test revealed the following:
- The installation readily activated by breaking away.
- The detached elements from the test article did not penetrate nor show potential for penetrating the passenger compartment, nor presented undue hazard to other traffic.
- The vehicle remained upright during and after the collision.
- The longitudinal occupant impact velocity of $7.9 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}$ ( $2.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) was below the limit of $15 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}(4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$.
- The absolute value of the longitudinal occupant ridedown acceleration of 0.86 g was below the limit of 15 g .
- The after collision vehicle trajectory and final stopping position was acceptable.
Based upon the above results, the call box installations as tested in tests 1,3 and 4 met the evaluation criteria recommended in NCHRP Report $230^{1}$, however, the call box installation as tested in test 2 did not meet that criteria.
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APPENDIX



Figure 36. Vehicle accelerometer traces for test 0335-1.


Figure 37. Vehicle angular displacements for test 0335-1.


Figure 38. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 0335-2.


Figure 39. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 0335-2.


Figure 40. Vehicle angular displacement for test 0335-2.


Figure 41. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 0335-3.


Figure 42. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 0335-3.


Figure 43. Vehicle vertical accelerometer trace for test 0335-3.


Figure 44. Vehicle angular displacements for test 0335-3.


Figure 45. Vehicle longitudinal accelerometer trace for test 0335-4.


Figure 46. Vehicle lateral accelerometer trace for test 0335-4.


[^0]:    *d = overall height of vehicle

