# Demand Estimation Model for Park-and-Ride Service 

How to use case study analysis and LEHD data to estimate ridership and bus trip needs at new or existing park-andride sites in the Houston Area.

Investigation of past and present methods/thoughts

## LITERATURE/SOURCE REVIEW RELATIVE DEMAND ESTIMATION

## General Notions About Shape of Market-Shed for Park-and-Rides

- Limited by distance to facility vs. destination
- Facilities close together may reduce each other's market generation effectiveness
- Riders' willingness to backtrack limited
- Parabola is most common shape
- $50 \%$ of riders typically live within 2.5 mile radius circle around facility

Figure 23: Catchment area determination
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## HOUSTON PARK-AND-RIDE STUDIES

## Kingsland P\&R (2000)

Figure 2
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## West Bellfort P\&R (2000)



## Westwood P\&R (2000)



## Observations

- 2.5 mi radius circle covers large amount of riders
- Parabola shape fits general distribution
- Findings concur with research
- 2.5 mile radius circle to measure/estimate demand may safely represent 50\% of riders

A close look at nine park-and-ride facilities
CASE STUDY ANALYSIS OF HOUSTON AREA PARK-AND-RIDES

## Location of Case Study Facilities



Nine Facilities:
4, Spring
7, Kingwood
8, Townsen
18, Bay Area
49, Grand Parkway
51, Kingsland
55, Cypress
56, Northwest Station
Current FBC Facilities:
42, AMC Movie Theater 43, University of Houston

## Summary of Case Study

- Average distance to CBD: $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ miles
- Average peak weekday CBD bound riders: 726
- Average \# of inbound bus trips: 25
- Average boardings per bus trip at P\&R: 27.9

Use the Census' online tool to obtain paired geography analysis of worker flows by income bracket.

## OBTAIN LEHD DATA FOR ANALYSIS

## LEHD OnTheMap Data, US Census Bureau

- Available annually from 2002-2010
- Longitudinal Employer-Household Dynamics (LEHD)
- Links home to work Census Blocks
- Work data, not exactly travel data
- OnTheMap is online tool for analysis


## Paired Analysis to Get Worker Flows

- Selecting 2.5 mile radius around $P \& R$
- Selecting 1.0 mile radius to encompass CBD
- Tabulating worker flow by income brackets
- \$1,250 per month or less
- \$1,251 to \$3,333 per month
- More than \$3,333 per month


# Example: Kingsland P\&R to CBD Step 1. Select areas for analysis 



# Example: Kingsland P\&R to CBD Step 2. Select analysis settings 



# Example: Kingsland P\&R to CBD Step 3. Run analysis, record findings 



Use of existing, local, and LEHD data to estimate demand for $P \& R$ service

## MODEL FOR P\&R DEMAND ESTIMATION USING LEHD DATA

## Demand Estimation Model Simplified Steps

- Obtain initial LEHD and P\&R data
- Clean, arrange P\&R data for use
- Create case study rates by combining P\&R and LEHD data
- Obtain LEHD data for new P\&R sites
- Estimate demand for P\&R services by applying rates from case study to LEHD data for new P\&R sites


## Location of Study Sites



## 42, AMC Movie Theater Lot



## 43, University of Houston



Circle intentionally offset from UH to the southwest along 59 to avoid most overlap with AMC Movie Theater P\&R.


# TrekExpress Study Approx. Markets for Current P\&Rs 



## Constellation Field



## FBC Fairgrounds, Rosenberg



## Based on Eight Case Study Sites: Model Inputs

- Average distance to CBD: $\mathbf{2 4 . 3}$ miles
- Average peak weekday CBD bound riders: 767
- Average \# of inbound bus trips: 28
- Average boardings per bus trip at P\&R: 27.9
- Average LEHD worker flow to CBD: 1,579
- Case study adjusted ratios (percent of workers using P\&R service):
- Medium or average estimate 24.3\%
- Low estimate 14.7\%
- High estimate 38.5\%


## Things to Note

- Estimated ridership is to Central Houston in peak hours, does not include other riders
- Estimated ridership is for transit services equivalent to the high-quality service at the 8 case study P\&Rs
- The five options for P\&R service in FBC each affect estimated demand differently
- Operating AMC/Town Center, UH, and Constellation Field at the same time may reduce total estimated demand due to site competition


## Summary of Model Findings

## Ridership Estimation: Avg/Low/High

LOW SCENARIO: RIDERSHIP GENERATION SIMILAR TO THREE LOWEST CASE STUDY PARK-AND-RIDE FACILITIES
Grand Parkway, Kingwood, and Spring

| Analysis P\&R Sites | Current <br> Lot <br> Spaces | Workers Living Within 2.5 miles of P\&R with Jobs in Houston CBD | Model Worker Flow P\&R Capture Ratio (LOW) | Earn \$1,250 month or less | $\begin{gathered} \text { Earn } \\ \$ 1,251 \text { to } \\ \$ 3,333 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | More than \$3,3333 <br> per month | Distance to next <br> Metro competing park-and-ride <br> facility in corridor: | Estimated Demand for Peak Hour P\&R Service to Central Houston |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMC Movie Theater Lot | 150 | 1,439 | 14.65\% | 3.6\% | 9.1\% | 87.3\% | 7.4 | 422 |
| University of Houston | 576 | 1,045 | 14.65\% | 4.2\% | 9.1\% | 86.7\% | 8.9 | 306 |
| Constellation Field | ? | 1,076 | 14.65\% | 4.0\% | 11.0\% | 84.9\% | 5.7 | 315 |
| Fort Bend County Fairgrounds | ? | 235 | 14.65\% | 16.2\% | 33.2\% | 50.6\% | 18.7 | 69 |

## AVERAGE SCENARIO: RIDERSHIP GENERATION SIMILAR TO ALL CASE STUDY PARK-AND-RIDE FACILITIES

| Analysis P\&R Sites | Current <br> Lot <br> Spaces | Workers Living Within 2.5 miles of P\&R with Jobs in Houston CBD | Model Worker <br> Flow P\&R Capture Ratio (AVERAGE) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Earn } \$ 1,250 \\ \text { month or } \\ \text { less } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Earn } \\ \$ 1,251 \text { to } \\ \$ 3,333 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | More than \$3,3333 <br> per month | Distance to next <br> Metro competing park-and-ride <br> facility in corridor: | Estimated Demand for Peak Hour P\&R Service to Central Houston |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMC Movie Theater Lot | 150 | 1,439 | 24.27\% | 3.6\% | 9.1\% | 87.3\% | 7.4 | 698 |
| University of Houston | 576 | 1,045 | 24.27\% | 4.2\% | 9.1\% | 86.7\% | 8.9 | 507 |
| Constellation Field | ? | 1,076 | 24.27\% | 4.0\% | 11.0\% | 84.9\% | 5.7 | 522 |
| Fort Bend County Fairgrounds | ? | 235 | 24.27\% | 16.2\% | 33.2\% | 50.6\% | 18.7 | 114 |

## HIGH SCENARIO: RIDERSHIP GENERATION SIMILAR TO THREE HIGHEST CASE STUDY PARK-AND-RIDE FACILITIES

Townsen, Cypress, and Northwest Station

| Analysis P\&R Sites | Current <br> Lot Spaces | Workers Living Within 2.5 miles of P\&R with Jobs in Houston CBD | Model Worker <br> Flow P\&R <br> Capture Ratio <br> (HIGH) | Earn \$1,250 month or less | $\begin{gathered} \text { Earn } \\ \$ 1,251 \text { to } \\ \$ 3,333 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | More than $\$ 3,3333$ <br> per month | Distance to next Metro competing park-and-ride facility in corridor: | Estimated Demand for Peak Hour P\&R Service to Central Houston |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AMC Movie Theater Lot | 150 | 1,439 | 38.50\% | 3.6\% | 9.1\% | 87.3\% | 7.4 | 1,108 |
| University of Houston | 576 | 1,045 | 38.50\% | 4.2\% | 9.1\% | 86.7\% | 8.9 | 805 |
| Constellation Field | ? | 1076 | 38.50\% | 4.0\% | 11.0\% | 84.9\% | 5.7 | 829 |
| Fort Bend County Fairgrounds | ? | 235 | 38.50\% | 16.2\% | 33.2\% | 50.6\% | 18.7 | 181 |

